doi:10.15171/ijer.2019.01

2019 Winter;6(1):1-7

http://ijer.skums.ac.ir



Original Article

Determinants of Health-Related Quality of Life in Rural Elderly People of the West of Iran: A Population-Based, Cross-Sectional Study

Marjan Hosseinpour^{1,2}, Mohammad Esmaeilpour Aghdam³, Masumeh Piri⁴, Farzad Maleki^{1,10}

¹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ²Maternal and Childhood Obesity Research Center, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran ³Department of E-learning in Medical Sciences, Virtual School, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ⁴Department of Health Education & Promotion, School of Health, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

Abstract

Background and aims: World's older population is growing, and attention is being directed to the improvement of their health-related quality of life (HRQoL). This article was conducted to investigate the HRQoL and associated factors in rural elderly residents in west of Iran.

Methods: By using the multistage sampling method, 346 elders from rural areas of Shahindezh were enrolled in this population-based, cross-sectional study conducted in 2014. To assess the HRQoL of the elderly people, the Leiden-Padua (LEIPAD) questionnaire was used. The economic status was classified into 3 categories (good, moderate, and low) using the principal component analysis. Descriptive statistics, independent *t* test, ANOVA, and Spearman correlation coefficient were used to analyze data. Multivariate linear regression was performed to determine predictive factors.

Results: The mean values and confidence intervals of total core scale and total moderator scale were 38.6 (36.7-40.6) and 31.2 (29.6-32.6), respectively. Univariate analysis showed age, marital status, economic status, occupation, income source, and ethnicity were associated with HRQoL (P<0.05). Multivariate analysis showed the married, the illiterate, widows/widowers and the divorced, people with low economic status, and the self-employed had low HRQoL with respect to total scale and total core scale models (P<0.05).

Conclusion: HRQoL varies according to socioeconomic factors. Its determinants should be addressed in social and health policies designed to improve the health of older people, especially the most vulnerable groups.

Keywords: Socioeconomic status, Quality of Life, Elderly

Introduction

One of the biggest challenges of countries worldwide is population aging.¹ According to the United Nations report, the proportion of the older population will increase globally from 10.5% in 2007 to 21.8% in 2050.² Similarly, due to the demographic transition, there is an increase in the population aged 60 years and over in Eastern Mediterranean Region.³ According to the 2011 National Population and Housing Census in Iran, the proportion of people aged 60 years and over to the total population grew from 7.27% in 2006 to 8.20% in 2011. The older population is projected to reach 10.5% in 2025 and 21.7% in 2050.^{4,5}

Aging is considered an unfavorable experience that is associated with a reduction in physical activities and predisposition to injuries.⁶ The elderly, especially in rural areas, are more likely to rely on domestic sources of economic support than the social security system.⁷ In the rural areas of west Iran, certain factors like poverty, unemployment, unsuitable mountainous roads, and cold climate lead to migration of the young work force to urban areas because of better employment opportunities.⁸ This makes older people live on their own with less physical and emotional support from family members.⁹

With rapid growth of older population in Asian countries, there is a rising concern regarding the elderly's health and healthcare facilities in these communities.^{3,10} By promoting the health and socioeconomic status (SES) of people, the health needs of the rural population have also changed from common infectious diseases to non-communicable diseases. Ageing in Iran will intensify the need for sanatoriums, healthcare workers, hospital beds, and geriatricians.¹¹ Although the government has made efforts to improve access to healthcare services,

 \bigcirc 2019 The Author(s); Published by Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Corresponding Author:

Farzad Maleki, Address: Poursina Street, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Tel: +98-9308099373, Fax: +98-4446329602, E-mail: malekiifarzad@gmail.com

Received: 18 Jan. 2018 Accepted: 9 June 2018 ePublished: 2 Jan. 2019

0

inefficient referral system from *behvarz* (rural healthcare worker) to family physicians and from family physicians to specialists has hindered access to healthcare services in rural residents.¹² Secondary and specialist cares in urban areas and hospitals are covered by social security and health insurance that do not cover such cares for rural residents without regular referral system.¹³

Quality of life (QoL) is a subjective and multidimensional concept which has been defined as *an individual's perception of life in the context of culture and value system in which he/she lives and in relation to his or her goals, expectations, standards, and concerns.*¹⁴ This concept comprises a wide range of aspects of human life, including social and cultural status, race, ethnicity, and religion.¹⁵ HRQoL is an index of healthy life among the elderly and can be used to identify vulnerable and high-risk individuals with poor health status.¹⁶ It is also being increasingly used in healthcare research, particularly for informing patient management, policy decision making, and resource allocation.^{17,18}

It is essential to identify the determinants for developing the most appropriate interventions to improve or preserve HRQoL. However, the evidence regarding different subscales of QoL and its determinants in elderly people living in rural areas of Iran according to the Leiden-Padua questionnaire is scarce.¹⁹ Thus, the aim of this study was to determine the HRQoL and the associated sociodemographic characteristics among elderly people in rural areas of west Iran.

Methods

Study Design and Population

This population-based, cross-sectional study was conducted in older people aged 65 years and over who were living in rural areas of Shahindezh county, west Iran, from September 2014 to April 2015. According to a health center survey in 2014, the population of elders over 65 years living in a rural area was 3.470 individuals.⁴ The sample size was calculated at 346 using the Cochran formula,²⁰ with a maximum acceptable error (*d*) of 0.05 and confidence level (*z*) of 1.96, with *P* and q=0.5.

The multistage sampling method was used to identify aspects of QoL in rural areas, within 3 districts of Shahindezh county including central, Mahmoudabad, and Keshavarz. From each district, one health center was selected and from each health center, 6 affiliated health houses were selected randomly. The names of residents aged 65 years and over were drawn from the health records filed in health houses. Study samples were then selected based on this list by using a simple random sampling technique. The questionnaires were administered by well-trained interviewers using a doorto-door approach, and face-to-face interview. Completion of each questionnaire took approximately 20 minutes where the interviewers explained the study objectives to the participants and obtained their consent to participate in the study. Inclusion criteria were being older than 65 years, living in rural areas, and not having any exclusion criteria. Exclusion criteria were mood swings, diagnosis of cognitive disorders, mental and physical disability. However, having chronic diseases such as hypertension, diabetes, and also being affected by an acute disease or a recent mental or physical injury in the last month are not considered regarding this. All of these disorders were diagnosed by health centers physicians and registered at their health profile.

Data Collection Instrument

The questionnaire used in this study included closedended questions divided in 3 parts:

First part: Demographic characteristics, expressed in terms of age, sex, marital status, education, children number, residence place, occupation, income source, and ethnicity.

Second part: Economic status, measured by the principal component analysis (PCA) model. This involves assessment of economic status based on the values of home appliances including television, personal computer, washing machine, laptop, refrigerator, oven, private car, house size, and number of rooms in the house as well as owning or renting a property. Based on this assessment, participants were classified into 3 groups of low, middle, and high economic status.

Third part: The Leiden-Padua (LEIPAD) questionnaire, developed to assess the QoL in the older population (21). This questionnaire has already been used in earlier studies in Iran.^{21,22} Because this questionnaire has not been used in rural areas of Iran, to investigate the reliability of the instrument, it was first administered to 30 individuals and then was re-administered to the same individuals 2 weeks later. The results were compared and the reliability was confirmed with Cronbach alpha of 0.89 and correlation coefficient of 0.91.

The LEIPAD questionnaire consists of 49 items including the core and moderator parts. Thirty-one items addressed 7 scales comprising the instrument core including physical function (PF-5 items), self-care (SC-6 items), depression and anxiety (DA-4 items), cognitive functioning (CF-5 items), social functioning (SF-3 items), sexual functioning (SX-2 items), and life satisfaction (LS-6 items). All items are rated on a 4-point Likert scale from 0 (best conditions) to 3 (worst conditions). Eighteen items comprised the moderate scale, including self-perceived personality disorders, anger, resentment and irritability, social desirability, faith in God, and selfesteem. Total scores of core and moderator scales (TCS and TMS) are calculated by summing the scores of all items regarding the scale of interest, and as stated earlier, lower scores represent better conditions. Due to cultural considerations, two items on sexual behaviors were not

approved by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences, and therefore the domains of core scales were reduced from seven to six items.

Data Analysis

Data analyses were performed using Stata software 13.0. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to investigate the normal distribution of data. The results of this test revealed that the data were normally distributed. In all analyses, P<0.05 was considered the significance level. Statistical analysis was undertaken using independent t test and ANOVA to test the differences between the mean scores of QoL in different groups of the SES and demographic variables. The relationship between age and domains of QoL was tested using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Multivariate linear regression was performed to analyze the effect of SES and demographic characteristics on QoL.

Results

The mean (±standard deviation) total scores of QoL, TCS, and TMS were 38.6 (±18.21), $31.2(\pm 15.18)$, and 7.5(±4.33), respectively (Table 1).

The mean (±standard deviation) age of the participants was 73.45±6.4 (range: 65-92) years, with male/female ratio of 0.99. Interestingly, 89.9% of participants were illiterate, 51.2% had low economic status, 78.8% were stockbreeders or farmers, and 76.3% were self-employed (Table 2).

The results clearly showed that with increasing age, QoL decreased, suggesting that older age has a negative impact on QoL scores, with the highest correlation being with self-care (SC) (r=0.4, P<0.0001). Mean QoL score was higher in men than in women (P<0.05), but the

Table 1. Scores of Scales of a Sample of Elderly People Living in Rural Areas of Shahindezh, Iran in 2015 (n=346)

Quality of Life Domains (Minimum-Maximum) Mean± (SD)					
	Physical functioning (0-15)	6.25(3.18)			
	Self-care (0-18)	4.12(4.32)			
C C I.	Depression and anxiety (0-12)	3.82(2.70)			
Core Scale	Cognitive functioning (0-15)	5.99(3.13)			
	Social functioning scale (0-9)	3.49(1.43)			
	Life satisfaction scale (0-18)	7.48(3.42)			
Total Core Scale	31.16(15.18)				
	Perceived personality disorder (0-6)	2.60(2.41)			
Moderator	Anger (0- 4)	1.11(1.41)			
Scale	Social desirability (0-3)	1.60(0.62)			
	Self-esteem (0-3)	1.89(1.06)			
	Trust in God (0-3)	1.56(0.51)			
Total of modera	7.46(4.33)				
Total (0- 106)		38.61(18.21)			

SD: Standard Deviation.

difference was not statistically significant. Individuals with higher SES had significantly better QoL in all domains, except for SF. Participants with high income attained significantly higher mean scores on PF (P=0.021), DA (P=0.033), and CF (P=0.02). Pensioners had better QoL in all domains, with statistically significant differences in LX (P=0.009), SF (P<0.0001), and CF (P=0.03) domains. Kurdish ethnic participants attained better scores in all domains, with statistically significant differences in all domains, with statistically significant

The multivariate linear regression model was used to determine the main factors affecting QoL domains after controlling for the confounding effect of other variables. In this model, the independent variables were age, marital status, literacy status, number of children, economic status, income amount, occupation, income source, and ethnicity, and the dependent variables were TCS, TMS, and TS. Results from this model revealed a significant association of age, marital status, income source, economic status, and occupation with QoL scores in TSC and TS domains. Regarding TCS, 23% of variance in QoL was predicted in R2 by independent variables. There was an increase of 0.268 units with a 1-year increase in age. There was a direct correlation between age and TSC as shown by the beta value of 0.286 units. In addition, the beta coefficients of marital status and income source were -0.16 and -0.15, respectively. For TS, the R2 value was obtained 21%, with beta coefficient and beta value of 0.225 and 0.714, respectively (Table 3).

Discussion

Results revealed that 90% of our participants were illiterate, and literate participants had better HRQoL in all domains. Over half of the participants had low economic status and low-income, and those with good economic status and high income had significantly better QoL in all domains. Married participants had better HRQoL than single, widowed, and divorced ones. Comparison of HRQoL among different ethnicities of Shahindezh showed Kurdish participants had better HRQoL than Turkish ones. The multivariate analysis revealed that marital status, income source, economic status, and occupation significantly predicted HRQoL.

We observed that HRQoL of older people was significantly reduced with increasing age. A study conducted in Australia has shown that elders aged below 70 years have better health status and QoL in comparison to those aged 70 and over.²³ QoL is directly associated with some factors such as physical and mental diseases, economic status, and familial and social security, and therefore despite aging, QoL can be desirable if these factors are controlled for.²⁴ Health status is the most important determinant of QoL.²⁵ Aging is associated with functional disorders and diseases, especially chronic, thereby increasing dependency and ultimately reducing

Table 2. The Distribution of Socioeconomic Characteristics of Participants, Comparisons of the Leiden-Padua Questionnaire Scores According to Participant Characteristics

		No. (9/)	PF	SC	DA	CF	SF	LX
		INU. (%)	Mean± (SD)					
Age*	Spearman correlation	346	0.33	0.4	0.2	0.28	0.12	0.17
	<i>P</i> value		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.024	0.001
Sex	Male	172(49.7)	6.12 (3.21)	3.78 (4.14)	3.63 (2.83)	5.82 (3.11)	3.52 (1.51)	7.28 (3.12)
	Female	174(50.3)	6.41 (3.12)	4.54 (4.37)	4.02 (2.53)	6.18 (3.1)	3.54 (1.43)	7.57 (3.71)
	P value		0.248	0.144	0.129	0.324	0.713	0.383
Marital status	Married	234(66.8)	5.68 (3.14)	3.33 (3.73)	3.42 (2.69)	5.62 (3.14)	3.33 (1.47)	7.01 (3.34)
	Widow/widower/Divorced	114(32.9)	7.42 (3.23)	5.67 (4.86)	4.48 (2.58)	6.68 (3.22)	3.72 (1.36)	8.29 (3.52)
	P value		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.001	0.004	0.047	0.001
Education	Illiterate	311(89.9)	6.32 (3.23)	4.03 (4.07)	3.84 (2.72)	5.87 (3.08)	3.39 (1.42)	7.41 (3.38)
	Literate	31(9.1)	6.38 (3.62)	5.21 (6.46)	4.16 (2.89)	6.39 (3.18)	4.11 (1.53)	8.01 (3.93)
	<i>P</i> value		0.832	0.173	0.561	0.428	0.225	0.417
	Childless	7(2.1)	6.12 (3.42)	3.41 (3.31)	4.78 (2.31)	7.71 (4.68)	3.87 (1.89)	10.81 (4.71)
Children	1-2	61(18.2)	6.43 (2.98)	4.48 (4.22)	4.22 (2.59)	6.16 (2.61)	3.68 (1.57)	8.29 (3.37)
number	3-5	109(32.5)	6.37 (2.68)	4.17 (4.12)	3.89 (2.31)	6.03 (2.73)	3.58 (1.46)	8.03 (3.14)
	Over 5	158(47.2)	5.09 (3.33)	4.11 (4.29)	3.52 (2.88)	5.81 (3.42)	3.30 (1.32)	6.61 (3.23)
	<i>P</i> value		0.804	0.672	0.246	0.298	0.071	< 0.0001
	Covered by insurance	305(88.2)	6.31 (3.31)	4.18 (4.41)	3.79 (2.68)	6.00 (3.23)	3.47 (1.54)	7.53 (3.36)
support	No insurance coverage	41(11.8)	5.79 (2.58)	3.53 (3.48)	3.70 (2.32)	5.61 (2.67)	3.42 (1.27)	6.86 (3.43)
	<i>P</i> value		0.239	0.353	0.861	0.473	0.706	0.249
	Low	176(51.2)	5.71 (3.13)	3.52 (3.86)	3.42 (2.57)	5.4 (3.13)	3.41 (1.53)	7.02 (3.45)
Socioeconomic	Moderate	129(37.5)	6.86 (3.01)	4.46 (4.14)	3.87 (2.62)	6.3 (2.87)	3.61 (1.25)	7.57 (3.16)
status	Good	39(11.3)	7.53 (3.36)	5.51 (5.59)	4.82 (3.19)	7.6 (3.56)	3.67 (1.52)	9.21 (3.87)
	<i>P</i> value		< 0.0001	0.017	0.01	< 0.0001	NS	0.002
	< 1 000 000	215(62.1)	6.46 (2.86)	4.17 (3.86)	4.12 (2.72)	6.17 (3.03)	3.53 (1.41)	7.53 (3.18)
Amount of	1 000 000-1 800 000	98(28.3)	6.07 (3.23)	3.83 (4.62)	3.37 (2.58)	5.86 (2.96)	3.47 (1.27)	7.42 (3.27)
income	>1 800 000	33(9.5)	4.87 (4.22)	4.26 (5.43)	3.22 (2.79)	4.58 (3.78)	3.76 (2.04)	6.87 (4.63)
	<i>P</i> value		0.021	0.753	0.033	0.02	0.985	0.063
	Farmers and stockbreeders	260(78.8)	7.33 (2.63)	5.62 (4.02)	5.01 (2.14)	6.74 (2.64)	4.19 (1.07)	8.91 (3.03)
Occupation	Self-employed	24(7.3)	6.67 (3.36)	6.02 (5.53)	4.53 (2.76)	6.65 (3.17)	4.27 (1.43)	8.38 (3.26)
occupation	Civil servant, pensioner	46(13.9)	6.12 (3.16)	3.66 (4.23)	3.58 (2.65)	5.76 (3.17)	3.32 (1.36)	7.23 (3.42)
	<i>P</i> value		0.051	0.003	0.002	0.80	<0.0001	0.003
	Personal	329 (95.4)	6.29 (3.19)	4.17 (4.32)	3.81 (2.71)	6.02 (3.01)	3.51 (1.41)	7.45 (3.37)
Residence	Rental	10 (2.9)	5.12 (2.03)	3.28 (3.17)	5.12 (2.11)	5.59 (2.48)	3.43 (0.57)	7.13 (2.76)
	Unstable	6 (1.7)	7.69 (2.70)	7.17 (2.27)	5.11 (1.47)	8.02 (1.68)	4.16 (1.03)	9.32 (3.31)
Source of Income	<i>P</i> value		0.287	0.187	0.117	0.268	0.506	0.391
	Self-employed	261(76.3)	6.37 (3.02)	4.32 (4.37)	4.03 (2.63)	6.23 (3.01)	3.56 (1.28)	7.68 (3.2)
	Pensioner	81(23.70	5.71 (3.69)	3.72 (4.31)	3.39 (2.86)	5.16 (3.29)	3.02 (1.71)	6.89 (3.97)
	<i>P</i> value		0.071	0.327	0.134	0.009	< 0.0001	0.03
Ethnicity	Turkish	163(41.7)	6.39 (3.21)	4.76 (4.77)	4.32 (3.01)	6.38 (3.27)	3.84 (1.31)	7.54 (3.41)
	Kurdish	182(52.3)	6.21 (3.23)	3.82 (3.92)	3.51 (2.38)	5.73 (3.11)	3.16 (1.46)	7.43 (3.37)
	P value		0.519	0.022	0.013	0.039	< 0.0001	0.478

PF: Physical Function; SC: Self-care; DA; Depression and anxiety; CF: Cognitive functioning; SF: Social functioning; SX: Sexual functioning; LS: Life satisfaction. NS: not significant; *for age, correlation coefficients are presented.

For Socioeconomic characteristics, mean (SD) values are presented. Significance level (P) is considered to be <0.05.

QoL.^{26,27} The adverse effects of aging on PF, self-care, cognitive functioning, social communication, and life satisfaction confirm the significant decreasing effect on HRQoL.

The current study demonstrated higher HRQoL among men than in women in all domains. This result, supported by a previous study, may be due to the difference in economic status and social position between men and women.²⁸ In some studies, depression was reported to be significantly associated with HRQoL only in women.²⁹ Some factors could possibly explain the low QoL in older women compared to older men, such as long lifetime, lower education level, low income, poverty, lower ability to defend one's social and economic rights, and living

Scope	Remaining Variables in the Final Regression Model	В	Beta	Р	F	df	Adjusted R ²
TCS	Age	0.668	0.286	< 0.0001			
	Marital status	-5.008	-0.155	0.004		6	0.23
	Income source	-5.357	-0.151	0.004	13.2		
	Economic status	-2.873	-0.133	0.012			
	Occupation	2.615	0.122	0.023			
TMS	Marital status	-2.128	-0.23	< 0.0001	11.0	r	0.1
	Child number	-0.763	-0.146	0.009	11.0	2	
TS	Age	0.714	0.255	< 0.0001			
	Marital status	-7.094	-0.183	0.001			
	Income source	-5.794	-0.136	0.009	12.8	6	0.21
	Economic status	3.441	0.132	0.013			
	Occupation	2.981	0.117	0.032			

Table 3. Predictor Variables of Quality of Life Among Elderly Using Multivariate Linear Regression Analysis

Reference groups were age of 65 years, widows/widowers and the divorced, self-employment and low economic status, self-employment; TCS: Total Core Scale; TMS: total moderator scale; TS: total scale.

alone for a long time after the death of husband.¹⁴ In addition, menopause symptoms such as sleep disorders, night sweats, and increased stress and anxiety may lead to a reduction in the HRQoL.^{30,31} Thus, elderly women are more vulnerable than men, and therefore improving women's HRQoL needs a multi-dimensional, multi-disciplinary, and culturally based approach to providing better living conditions for them.

In this study, the HRQoL of married people was higher than that of the single ones. A study showed married people were less likely to develop cognitive dysfunction.³² Because of greater economic sources, higher social security, and development of healthy behaviors, being married plays a positive role in HRQoL,³³⁻³⁵ although it depends on cultural and social conditions in various communities.^{36,37} Widows and divorced women need more support care, and rural health centers should provide mental health services for them.

The results of this study indicated that literacy had a positive effect on HRQoL; however, this is not significant in any of the scales. In confirmation of the result, previous studies showed higher literacy level has a positive and direct association with HRQoL,^{35,37,38} not only through access to better information resources to learn and apply improving skills of HRQoL,³⁸ but also due to promoting social standards and improving economic stability and self-esteem. The majority of participants was illiterate and deprived of official education; therefore, health education interventions will improve health knowledge in rural areas.

Consistent with studies in other countries, our findings also demonstrated a significant relationship of high income and economic status with better HRQoL.^{24,39} Depression, as one of the domains of QoL, was significantly associated with family income.²⁹ In addition, low economic status was associated with low level of the functional domain of QoL.⁴⁰ Further, elders with low economic status receive lower social security, and may lose the source(s) of income and become dependent on others, influencing their HRQoL.^{22,41} High income is an essential factor for better HRQoL, and not only to obtain the crucial necessities but also to participate in and enjoy various recreations. The costs of living increase with aging because of physical or mental disability and therefore the need for medical care and special facilities.

In our study, farmers and stockbreeders had significantly lower HRQoL than the employees and retired people in all scales except for PF and CF. A study demonstrated civil servants had more income, welfare, and access to health services, and therefore attained a higher total score on physical and mental domains.³² Employment not only leads to income stability but also assists in better social communication that is positively correlated with HRQoL.³⁹ Our findings showed the retired and pensioners significantly had higher self-care, sexual functioning, life satisfaction, and lower depression and anxiety levels, compared with farmers, stockbreeders, and self-employees. Probably, loss of income and economic dependency in the elders will increase anxiety and reduce HRQoL.

In this study, HRQoL of the older people of Kurdish ethnicity was better than that of Turkish ethnicity. Factors like the difference in social security, economic status, and income must be taken into account in examining the effects of race and ethnicity.²⁶ In addition, the existing differences may be due to subjective perspectives about life and howness of playing useful roles.

Conclusion

The results of this study indicate that marital status, the source of income, economic status, and occupation significantly predict HRQoL, and the illiterate people, widows and divorced individuals, people with low SES, and self-employed persons have significantly lower HRQoL and therefore require further attention. Identifying effective factors on HRQoL is useful to provide better services in hospitals, nursing homes, and healthcare centers. The results of our study can help improve the planning process in the rural areas of west Iran.

Our study suffered from certain limitations; the crosssectional design cannot explain causal relationships. The face-to-face interview for collecting data may be susceptible to information bias. However, the representative and generalizable sample size, lack of use of available samples, and administration of LEIPAD questionnaire, specially designed to assess the HRQoL of elders, were the strengths of the study.

Further longitudinal studies are required to identify the main cause of the differences in HRQoL levels especially in aging and to determine the accessibility of health services and health needs in rural elders.

Ethical Approval

The protocol of this study was in accordance with the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and the relevant local authorities of Shahindezh county Health Network. The study objectives have been clearly explained, and verbal consent to participate in the study was obtained from all participants. All participants were informed that participation in the study would be voluntary and were assured that their information would be kept confidential.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures

None.

Funding/Support

This research was supported by Student Research Center of Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (grant number: 26896, Date: 2014/8/10).

Acknowledgments

Hereby, we appreciate all the elderly participants of the study, as well as the staff of health centers in rural districts of Shahindezh county for their cooperation in this study. This research was conducted in accordance with the guidelines outlined by Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

References

- 1. Bloom DE, Boersch-Supan A, McGee P, Seike A. Population aging: facts, challenges, and responses. Benefits and Compensation International. 2011;41(1):22.
- 2. UN. World population ageing 2009. United Nations Publications; 2010.
- Mujahid G. Population ageing in East and South-East Asia: current situation and emerging challenges. Bangkok: UNFPA Country Technical Services Team for East and South-East Asia; 2006.
- 4. Statistical Center of Iran, The President's Office Deputy of

Strategic Planning and Control. National population and housing Census 2011: Selected Findings. Tehran: Statistical Center of Iran; 2011.

- UN. United Nations World Population Ageing: 1950–2050, Countries of area: Iran. http://www.un.org/en/development/ desa/population/publications/pdf/ageing/WPA2015_Report. pdf.
- Halvorsrud L, Kalfoss M, Diseth A, Kirkevold M. Quality of life in older Norwegian adults living at home: a crosssectional survey. J Res Nurs. 2012;17(1):12-29. doi: 10.1177/1744987110374273.
- Sheykhi MT. Aging and Exposition to Social Problems in Asia with a Focus on Iran: A Sociological Appraisal. Middle East Journal of Age & Ageing. 2018;15(1):8-15.
- Mahdi A, Hosein Mahdi M, Shafiei M. Factors influencing ruralurban migration from mountainous areas in Iran: A case study in West Esfahan. Eur Online J Nat Soc Sci. 2014;3(3):723-8.
- Barbieri M, editor Doi Moi and the elderly: Intergenerational support under the strain of reforms 2006. Presented at: the Population Association of America 2006 Annual Meeting; Los Angeles California March 30-April 1 2006.
- Rowland DT. Aging in Asia. In: Population Aging. Springer; 2012. p. 209-25.
- Moeeni M, Pourreza A, Torabi F, Heydari H, Mahmoudi M. Analysis of economic determinants of fertility in Iran: a multilevel approach. Int J Health Policy Manag. 2014;3(3):135-44. doi: 10.15171/ijhpm.2014.78.
- Zanganeh Baygi M, Seyedin H, Salehi M, Jafari Sirizi M. Structural and contextual dimensions of Iranian primary health care system at local level. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2015;17(1):e17222. doi: 10.5812/ircmj.17222.
- Jafari M, Rashidian A, Abolhasani F, Mohammad K, Yazdani S, Parkerton P, et al. Space or no space for managing public hospitals; a qualitative study of hospital autonomy in Iran. Int J Health Plann Manage. 2011;26(3):e121-37. doi: 10.1002/ hpm.1050.
- World Health Organization (WHO). WHOQOL-BREF: introduction, administration, scoring and generic version of the assessment. Geneva: WHO; 1996.
- 15. Krageloh CU, Billington DR, Henning MA, Chai PP. Spiritual quality of life and spiritual coping: evidence for a two-factor structure of the WHOQOL spirituality, religiousness, and personal beliefs module. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2015;13:26. doi: 10.1186/s12955-015-0212-x.
- Michalos AC. Social indicators research and health-related quality of life research. Connecting the Quality of Life Theory to Health, Well-being and Education. Springer; 2017:25-58.
- Kaeberlein M, Rabinovitch PS, Martin GM. Healthy aging: The ultimate preventative medicine. Science. 2015;350(6265):1191-3. doi: 10.1126/science.aad3267.
- Barile JP, Edwards VJ, Dhingra SS, Thompson WW. Associations among county-level social determinants of health, child maltreatment, and emotional support on health-related quality of life in adulthood. Psychol Violence. 2015;5(2):183-91. doi: 10.1037/a0038202.
- Cheraghi P, Cheraghi Z, Doosti-Irani A, Nedjat S, Nedjat S. Quality of Life in Elderly Iranian Population Using Leiden-Padua Questionnaire: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Int J Prev Med. 2017;8:55. doi: 10.4103/ijpvm. IJPVM_265_16.
- Cochran WG. Sampling techniques. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons; 2007.
- Ghasemi H, Harirchi M, Masnavi A, Rahgozar M, Akbarian M. Comparing quality of life between seniors living in families and institutionalized in nursing homes. Social Welfare Quarterly. 2011;10(39):177-200.
- 22. Mohaqeqi Kamal SH, Sajjadi H, Zare H, Beiglarian A. [Elderly quality of life: A comparison between pensioners

of social security organization and national retirement fund (Qom County, 2006)]. Journal of Health Administration. 2007;10(27):49-56. [Persian].

- Kirchengast S, Haslinger B. Gender differences in healthrelated quality of life among healthy aged and old-aged Austrians: cross-sectional analysis. Gend Med. 2008;5(3):270-8. doi: 10.1016/j.genm.2008.07.001.
- Zaninotto P, Falaschetti E, Sacker A. Age trajectories of quality of life among older adults: results from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. Qual Life Res. 2009;18(10):1301-9. doi: 10.1007/s11136-009-9543-6.
- Blane D, Netuveli G. Quality of life in older ages. Br Med Bull. 2008;85(1):113-26.doi:10.1093/bmb/ldn003.
- Fernandez-Ballesteros R, Santacreu I. Aging and quality of life. International encyclopedia of rehabilitation. Boston: Institute for Community Inclusion; 2011.
- 27. Szwarcwald CL, Souza-Junior PR, Esteves MA, Damacena GN, Viacava F. Socio-demographic determinants of self-rated health in Brazil. Cad Saude Publica. 2005;21 Suppl:54-64. doi: /S0102-311x2005000700007.
- Cherepanov D, Palta M, Fryback DG, Robert SA. Gender differences in health-related quality-of-life are partly explained by sociodemographic and socioeconomic variation between adult men and women in the US: evidence from four US nationally representative data sets. Qual Life Res. 2010;19(8):1115-24. doi: 10.1007/s11136-010-9673-x.
- 29. Chen R, Wei L, Hu Z, Qin X, Copeland JR, Hemingway H. Depression in older people in rural China. Arch Intern Med. 2005;165(17):2019-25. doi: 10.1001/archinte.165.17.2019.
- Abedzadeh Kalahroudi M. Strategies for improvement quality of life in menopause. Nurs Midwifery Stud. 2013;2(2):240-1.
- Abedzadeh Kalahroudi M, Taebi M, Sadat Z, Saberi F, Karimian Z. Prevalence and severity of menopausal symptoms and related factors among women 40-60 years in Kashan, Iran. Nurs Midwifery Stud. 2012;1(2):88-93.
- Hakansson K, Rovio S, Helkala EL, Vilska AR, Winblad B, Soininen H, et al. Association between mid-life marital status and cognitive function in later life: population based cohort study. BMJ. 2009;339:b2462. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2462.

- Abbasimoghadam MA, Dabiran S, Safdari R, Djafarian K. Quality of life and its relation to sociodemographic factors among elderly people living in Tehran. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2009;9(3):270-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1447-0594.2009.00532.x.
- Kalpakjian CZ, Houlihan B, Meade MA, Karana-Zebari D, Heinemann AW, Dijkers MP, et al. Marital status, marital transitions, well-being, and spinal cord injury: an examination of the effects of sex and time. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2011;92(3):433-40. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2010.07.239.
- 35. Song L, Li S, Zhang W, Feldman MW. Intergenerational support and self-rated health of the elderly in rural China: An investigation in Chaohu, Anhui province. In: Zeng Y, Poston DL Jr, Vlosky DA, Gu D, eds. Healthy Longevity in China: Demographic, Socioeconomic, and Psychological Dimensions. Dordrecht: Springer; 2008:235-49.
- Hagedoorn M, Van Yperen NW, Coyne JC, van Jaarsveld CH, Ranchor AV, van Sonderen E, et al. Does marriage protect older people from distress? The role of equity and recency of bereavement. Psychol Aging. 2006;21(3):611-20. doi: 10.1037/0882-7974.21.3.611.
- Tajvar M, Arab M, Montazeri A. Determinants of health-related quality of life in elderly in Tehran, Iran. BMC Public Health. 2008;8:323. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-8-323.
- Park BW, Lee S, Lee AR, Lee KH, Hwang SY. Quality of Life Differences between Younger and Older Breast Cancer Patients. J Breast Cancer. 2011;14(2):112-8. doi: 10.4048/ jbc.2011.14.2.112.
- Netuveli G, Wiggins RD, Hildon Z, Montgomery SM, Blane D. Quality of life at older ages: evidence from the English longitudinal study of aging (wave 1). J Epidemiol Community Health. 2006;60(4):357-63. doi: 10.1136/jech.2005.040071.
- Breeze E, Jones DA, Wilkinson P, Bulpitt CJ, Grundy C, Latif AM, et al. Area deprivation, social class, and quality of life among people aged 75 years and over in Britain. Int J Epidemiol. 2005;34(2):276-83. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyh328.
- 41. Huguet N, Kaplan MS, Feeny D. Socioeconomic status and health-related quality of life among elderly people: results from the Joint Canada/United States Survey of Health. Soc Sci Med. 2008;66(4):803-10. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.11.011.

How to cite the article: Hosseinpour M, Esmaeilpour Aghdam M, Piri M, Maleki F. Determinants of health-related quality of life in rural elderly people of the west of Iran: a population-based, cross-sectional study. Int J Epidemiol Res. 2019;6(1): 1-7. doi: 10.15171/ijer.2019.01.